

The Transversity Function and Double Spin Asymmetry in Semi-Inclusive DIS

Elvio Di Salvo

Dipartimento di Fisica and I.N.F.N. - Sez. Genova, Via Dodecaneso, 33
- 16146 Genova, Italy

Abstract

We show that the spin asymmetry in semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering between a longitudinally polarized lepton beam and a transversely polarized proton target is sensitive to the proton transversity function. The asymmetry, which is twist 3, is estimated to be more than 10% under the most favourable conditions. The experiment we suggest is feasible at facilities like DESY, CERN and JLAB.

PACS numbers: 13.85.Qk, 13.88.+e

High energy spin physicists have been focusing for some time their efforts on determining the quark transversity distribution[1-5], which appears a particularly difficult task[6-10]. Indeed, different observables have been singled out, which are sensitive to this quantity; among them the Drell-Yan double spin asymmetry[9] and the interference fragmentation functions[7].

For the moment the most promising experiments in this sense are those realized or planned by SMC[11] and HERMES[12, 13] collaborations. These are based on the Collins effect[14] and consist in semi-inclusive pion electroproduction by a longitudinally[12] or transversely[13] polarized proton target. Up to now such experiments have provided a rough evaluation[15] of the transversity function, h_1^f , where f is the quark flavor. The single spin asymmetry is proportional to the product $h_1^f(x)c_f(z)$, where c_f is the azimuthal asymmetry fragmentation function of a transversely polarized quark into a pion[4, 15], and, as usual, x and z are the longitudinal fractional momenta, respectively, of the active quark and of the pion with respect to the fragmenting quark. As claimed by Jaffe[4], this may become the "classic" way of determining the nucleon transversity distribution functions, provided $c_f(z)$ is known to some precision and not too small. But at present we know very little about this function[15]. Analogous considerations could be done about the method suggested by Jaffe and Ji (JJ)[16]. This method consists in measuring the double spin asymmetry in a semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS) experiment of the type

$$\vec{\ell} p^\uparrow \rightarrow \ell' \pi X, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{\ell}$ is a longitudinally polarized charged lepton and $p^\uparrow$ a transversely polarized proton target. The asymmetry is defined as

$$A(|\mathbf{k}|; Q, \nu; \Pi_\parallel) = \frac{d\sigma_{\uparrow\rightarrow} - d\sigma_{\uparrow\leftarrow}}{d\sigma_{\uparrow\rightarrow} + d\sigma_{\uparrow\leftarrow}}. \quad (2)$$

Here, as usual, ν is the lepton energy transfer and $Q^2 = -q^2$, q being the four-momentum transfer. Furthermore $\mathbf{k}$ is the momentum of the initial lepton and $\Pi_\parallel$ the component of the final pion momentum along the momentum transfer. Lastly $d\sigma_{\uparrow\rightarrow}$ and $d\sigma_{\uparrow\leftarrow}$ are the polarized differential cross sections for reaction (1), integrated

over the transverse momentum of the final pion with respect to the momentum transfer; arrows indicate the proton and lepton polarization. Asymmetry (2) contains a twist-4 term[16] which includes the product $h_1^f(x)\hat{e}^f(z)$, where $\hat{e}^f(z)$ is the twist-3 fragmentation function of the pion. Again, the extraction of h_1^f depends critically on an unknown function.

Alternatively, in this letter we consider the double spin asymmetry $A(|\mathbf{k}|; Q, \nu; \mathbf{P})$, analogous to (2), but where the pion momentum $\mathbf{P}$ is kept fixed. As we shall see, such an asymmetry - which is of the type considered by Mulders and Tangerman[17] (MT) - is twist 3 and sensitive to the transverse momentum dependent (t.m.d.) transversity function, without involving any unknown distribution or fragmentation functions. The t.m.d. transversity function depends on x , on $\mathbf{p}_\perp^2$ and on $(\mathbf{p}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{S})^2$, where $\mathbf{p}_\perp$ is the quark transverse momentum and $\mathbf{S}$ the proton spin vector, $\mathbf{S}^2 = 1$. In a short-hand notation, we indicate this function as $\delta q_\perp(x, \mathbf{p}_\perp)$.

As is well-known[14], SIDIS is kinematically isomorphic to Drell-Yan. But we have shown in a previous paper[18] that the inclusive muon pair production from singly polarized proton-hadron collisions, at a fixed transverse momentum of the pair with respect to the initial beams, causes a muon polarization, which is sensitive to $\delta q_\perp$. Therefore we expect an analogous effect in reaction (1), provided we fix the pion direction. This is indeed the case, as we shall see.

We calculate $A(|\mathbf{k}|; Q, \nu; \mathbf{P})$ in the framework of a QCD-improved parton model[19]. In the laboratory frame the differential cross section reads, in one-photon exchange approximation,

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{4|\mathbf{k}|m} \frac{e^4}{Q^4} L_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} d\Gamma, \quad (3)$$

where m is the proton rest mass and $L_{\mu\nu}$ ($H_{\mu\nu}$) the leptonic (hadronic) tensor. $d\Gamma$, the phase space element, reads

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} d^4k \delta(k^2) \theta(k_0) d^4P \delta(P^2 - m_\pi^2) \theta(P_0). \quad (4)$$

Here k and P are, respectively, the four-momenta of the initial lepton and of the pion, whose rest mass is m_π . The leptonic tensor is, in the massless approximation,

$$L_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} Tr[k(1 + \lambda_\ell \gamma_5) \gamma_\mu k' \gamma_\nu], \quad (5)$$

λ_ℓ being the helicity of the initial lepton and $k' = k - q$ the four-momentum of the final lepton. Trace calculation yields

$$L_{\mu\nu} = k_\mu k'_\nu + k'_\mu k_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} k \cdot k' + i\lambda_\ell \varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\beta\nu} k^\alpha k'^\beta. \quad (6)$$

As regards the hadronic tensor, the generalized factorization theorem[20, 21, 22] in the covariant formalism[23] yields, at zero order in the QCD coupling constant,

$$H_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{f=1}^6 e_f^2 \int d\Gamma_q \varphi^f(p'; P) h_{\mu\nu}^f(p, p'; S), \quad (7)$$

$$h_{\mu\nu}^f = \sum_L q_L^f(p) \text{Tr}(\rho^L \gamma_\mu \rho' \gamma_\nu). \quad (8)$$

Here the factor $1/3$ comes from color averaging in the elementary scattering process and f runs over the three light flavors (u, d, s) and ant flavors ($\bar{u}, \bar{d}, \bar{s}$), $e_1 = -e_4 = 2/3$, $e_2 = e_3 = -e_5 = -e_6 = -1/3$. p and p' are respectively the four-momenta of the active parton before and after being struck by the virtual photon. S is the Pauli-Lubanski (PL) four-vector of the proton. q_L^f is the probability density function of finding a quark (or an antiquark) in a pure spin state, whose third component along the proton polarization is L . Analogously φ^f is the fragmentation function of a quark of four-momentum p' into a pion of four-momentum P . Moreover

$$d\Gamma_q = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} d^4p \delta(p^2) \theta(p_0) d^4p' \delta(p'^2) \theta(p'_0) \delta^4(p' - p - q), \quad (9)$$

the active parton being taken on shell and massless. Lastly the ρ 's are the spin density matrices of the initial and final active parton, *i. e.*[18],

$$\rho^L = \frac{1}{2} \not{p} [1 + 2L\gamma_5(\lambda + \not{\eta})] \quad \text{and} \quad \rho' = \frac{1}{2} \not{p}'. \quad (10)$$

Here $2L\eta$ is the transverse PL four-vector of the active parton, while λ is the longitudinal component of the quark spin vector. Formulae (10) are consistent with the Politzer theorem[24] in the parton model approximation. These imply, together with eq. (8), that η does not contribute to $h_{\mu\nu}^f$. For later convenience we re-write this last tensor as

$$h_{\mu\nu}^f = \frac{1}{4} [q^f(p) s_{\mu\nu} + \lambda \delta q^f(p) a_{\mu\nu}], \quad (11)$$

where

$$s_{\mu\nu} = Tr(\not{p}\gamma_\mu\not{p}'\gamma_\nu), \quad a_{\mu\nu} = Tr(\gamma_5\not{p}\gamma_\mu\not{p}'\gamma_\nu) \quad (12)$$

and $q^f(p) = \sum_L q_L^f(p)$ is the unpolarized quark distribution function, while $\delta q^f(p) = \sum_L 2Lq_L^f(p)$.

λ is a Lorentz scalar, such that $|\lambda| \leq 1$. If we neglect the parton transverse momentum, the only way of constructing such a quantity with the available vectors is

$$\lambda = \lambda_{\parallel} = \mathbf{S} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{|\mathbf{q}|} = \frac{-S \cdot q}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + Q^2}}. \quad (13)$$

Here we have exploited the fact that ν is a Lorentz scalar and that in the laboratory frame $S \equiv (0, \mathbf{S})$ and $q \equiv (\nu, \mathbf{q})$. $\lambda_{\parallel}$ can be viewed as the helicity of the proton in a frame moving along $\mathbf{q}$. Now, in order to take into account the transverse momentum, we have to adopt a frame where the proton momentum is large in comparison to m [25]. But, in this more refined approximation, we are still faced with the problem of defining λ in a Lorentz invariant way. As we are going to show, the only way to do this is to consider the Breit frame, that is, where the virtual photon has four-momentum $q = (0, \mathbf{q}_B)$, with $|\mathbf{q}_B| = Q$. In this frame the proton momentum is $-\frac{1}{2x}\mathbf{q}_B$, therefore the active parton carries a momentum $\mathbf{p}_B = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{q}_B + \mathbf{p}_{\perp}$, where, as usual, $x = Q^2/(2m\nu)$ is the longitudinal fractional momentum and $\mathbf{p}_{\perp}$ the transverse momentum with respect to $\mathbf{q}_B$. We decompose the proton spin vector $\mathbf{S}$ into a longitudinal and a transverse component, *i. e.*,

$$\mathbf{S} = \lambda_{\parallel} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{|\mathbf{q}|} + \mathbf{S}_{\perp}, \quad \mathbf{S}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{q} = 0. \quad (14)$$

Moreover we carry on the integration (7) over the time and longitudinal components of p , taking the z -axis opposite to $\mathbf{q}$. We get, in the light cone formalism,

$$H_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 Q^2} \sum_{f=1}^6 e_f^2 \int d^2 p_{\perp} \varphi^f(z, \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^2) h_{\mu\nu}^f(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}; \mathbf{S}), \quad (15)$$

where, taking into account the decomposition (14) of $\mathbf{S}$, the tensor $h_{\mu\nu}^f$ (see eq. (11)) reads[2]

$$h_{\mu\nu}^f = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ q^f(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2) s_{\mu\nu} + \left[\lambda_{\parallel} \delta q_{\parallel}^f(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2) + \lambda_{\perp} \delta q_{\perp}^f(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) \right] a_{\mu\nu} \right\}. \quad (16)$$

Here

$$\lambda_{\perp} = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{p}_B}{|\mathbf{p}_B|} \simeq \frac{2\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\perp}}{Q} \quad (17)$$

and $\delta q_{\parallel}^f(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2)$ is the t.m.d. helicity distribution function. Moreover $z = (P_{\parallel} + P_0)/(2|\mathbf{p}'|)$ is the longitudinal fractional momentum of the pion resulting from fragmentation of the struck parton, whose momentum is $\mathbf{p}'$. We have defined $P_0 = \sqrt{m_{\pi}^2 + \mathbf{P}^2}$, $P_{\parallel} = \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{p}'/|\mathbf{p}'|$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\perp} = \mathbf{P} - P_{\parallel}\mathbf{p}'/|\mathbf{p}'|$. Denoting by $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp}$ the transverse momentum of the pion with respect to the photon momentum, we get

$$\mathbf{P}_{\perp} = \mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp} - z\mathbf{p}_{\perp}. \quad (18)$$

Therefore, if we keep $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp}$ fixed, $\mathbf{P}_{\perp}$ depends on $\mathbf{p}_{\perp}$. Since we want to pick up a pion resulting from fragmentation of the active quark, we pick up events such that $|\mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp}| \ll |\mathbf{P}|$. Notice that, although we have chosen a particular frame - coincident with the one adopted by Feynman[26] -, the tensor (16) is covariant.

In order to calculate the asymmetry $A(|\mathbf{k}|; Q, \nu; \mathbf{P})$ - defined analogously to (2), but keeping $\mathbf{P}$ fixed - we have to substitute the leptonic tensor (6) and the hadronic tensor (15) into the cross section (3), taking into account relations (16) and (17). The result is

$$A(|\mathbf{k}|; Q, \nu; \mathbf{P}) = \mathcal{F} \frac{\sum_{f=1}^6 e_f^2 \delta Q^f}{\sum_{f=1}^6 e_f^2 Q^f}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \frac{k_+ k'_- - k_- k'_+}{k_+ k'_- + k_- k'_+}. \quad (19)$$

Here we have introduced the quantities

$$Q^f = Q^f(x, z, \mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp}^2) = \int d^2 p_{\perp} q^f(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2) \varphi^f(z, \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^2), \quad (20)$$

$$\delta Q^f = \delta Q_{\parallel}^f(x, z, \mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp}^2) + \mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{S} \delta Q_{\perp}^f(x, z, \mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp}), \quad (21)$$

$$\delta Q_{\parallel}^f(x, z, \mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp}^2) = \lambda_{\parallel} \int d^2 p_{\perp} \delta q_{\parallel}^f(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2) \varphi^f(z, \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^2), \quad (22)$$

$$\delta Q_{\perp}^f(x, z, \mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp}) \mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{S} = \int d^2 p_{\perp} \lambda_{\perp} \delta q_{\perp}^f(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) \varphi^f(z, \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^2). \quad (23)$$

Below we shall explain the presence of the scalar product $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{S}$ at the left side of eq. (23) and we shall show that δQ^f is twist 3. Since the products $k_+ k'_-$ and $k_- k'_+$ are invariant under boosts along the z -axis, we calculate them in the laboratory frame,

where, in the massless approximation,

$$k_{\pm} = \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{\sqrt{2}}(1 \pm \cos\beta), \quad k'_{\pm} = \frac{|\mathbf{k}'|}{\sqrt{2}}[1 \pm \cos(\theta + \beta)]. \quad (24)$$

Here $\mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}$ is the final lepton momentum. Moreover β and θ are, respectively, the angle between $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ and between $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{k}'$:

$$|\mathbf{q}|\cos\beta = |\mathbf{k}| - |\mathbf{k}'|\cos\theta. \quad (25)$$

Now we consider the scaling limit, *i. e.*, $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$, $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{Q^2}{2m\nu} \rightarrow x$. Since $Q^2 \simeq 2|\mathbf{k}||\mathbf{k}'|(1 - \cos\theta)$, θ tends to zero in that limit, as well as β :

$$\theta \simeq \frac{m}{Q} \frac{y}{x(1-y)^{1/2}}, \quad \beta \simeq \theta \frac{1-y}{y}, \quad y = \frac{\nu}{|\mathbf{k}|}. \quad (26)$$

Then the second eq. (19) and eq. (13) yield, respectively,

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{y(2-y)}{1+(1-y)^2}, \quad \lambda_{\parallel} = \frac{1-y}{y} \sin\theta \cos\phi, \quad (27)$$

where ϕ is the azimuthal angle between the $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ plane and the $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{S})$ plane. Therefore $\delta Q_{\parallel}^f$, eq. (22), is twist 3, as follows from the second eq. (27) and from the first eq. (26). But also the second term of eq. (21) is twist 3, as is immediate to check. Therefore our asymmetry is twist 3. Some remarks are in order.

(i) Invariance of strong interactions under parity and time reversal, together with a rotation by π around the proton momentum, implies

$$\delta q_{\perp}^f(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}) = \delta q_{\perp}^f(x, -\mathbf{p}_{\perp}). \quad (28)$$

Therefore the second term of eq. (21) vanishes either if we set $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp} = 0$ or if we integrate over $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp}$. The former property explains the presence of the factor $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{S}$ at the l.h.s. of eq. (23). From this we deduce that, in order to maximize our asymmetry, it is most convenient to take the vector $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp}$ parallel to or opposite to $\mathbf{S}$, that is, to select pions whose momenta lie in the $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{S})$ plane. On the other hand, integrating over $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\perp}$, δQ^f goes over into $\lambda_{\parallel} \Delta q^f(x) D^f(z)$, corresponding to the twist-3 term of the numerator in the JJ asymmetry[16]. Here $\Delta q^f(x)$ is the helicity distribution function and $D^f(z)$ the usual fragmentation function of the pion. The above mentioned numerator includes

also a twist-4 term of the type $\lambda[\frac{1}{x}h_1^f(x)\frac{1}{z}\hat{e}^f(z) + g_T^f(x)D^f(z)]$ [16], where $g_T^f(x)$ is the transverse spin distribution function.

(ii) The second term of eq. (21) is especially sensitive to $\delta q_\perp^f(x, \mathbf{p}_\perp)$ if $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{S} = 0$. In this situation the first term of eq. (21) - and more generally the JJ asymmetry - vanishes. Therefore events such that the lepton scattering plane is perpendicular to the proton polarization, that is $\phi = \pi/2$, are particularly relevant to our aims.

(iii) It is worth observing that the t.m.d. transversity function has a chiral-even component, owing to the non-collinearity of the quark with respect to the proton momentum. To see this, it is sufficient to change the quantization axis from the proton momentum to the quark momentum. It is just this chiral-even component that appears in formula (23); indeed, as we have seen before, the quark density matrix involves only the helicity. Therefore, according to chirality conservation, our asymmetry formula (19) - unlike those previously considered for determining the transversity function[6-12] - does not contain any chiral-odd (and therefore unusual) distribution or fragmentation functions. The t.m.d. functions q^f and φ^f , involved in the asymmetry, can be parametrized in a well defined way. Incidentally, the change in the quantization axis allows to establish connections between the transverse momentum dependent distribution functions defined by MT (see also refs.[21] and[1]); for example, in the MT notation, $h_{1T} + h_{1T}^\perp(\mathbf{S}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{p}_\perp)^2/(m^2|\mathbf{S}_\perp|)$ - to be identified with $\delta q_\perp^f(x, \mathbf{p}_\perp)$ - is related to g_{1T} [27].

(iv) The asymmetry derives contributions also from the one-gluon exchange[20] terms, demanded by gauge invariance. In our approach these terms are conveniently calculated in the light cone gauge. Two of these terms, which may be also deduced from the equations of motion[29], result in the distribution $\delta g_T^f(x, \mathbf{p}_\perp)$ [29, 17], whose integral over the transverse momentum is $g_T^f(x)$. Calculations[18] within the model proposed by Qiu and Sterman[20] assure that such contributions are about 10% of the zero order term.

Lastly we calculate the order of magnitude of the asymmetry (19) under optimal conditions. To this end, according to the above considerations, we take $\phi = \pi/2$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}_\perp$ parallel or antiparallel to $\mathbf{S}$. Moreover we assume[17, 21, 22] a gaussian behavior for the transverse momentum dependence of the functions involved in our asymme-

try, *i. e.*, $q^f(x, \mathbf{p}_\perp^2) = (\pi a)^{-1} q^f(x) \exp(-a \mathbf{p}_\perp^2)$, and analogously for $\delta q_\perp^f(x, \mathbf{p}_\perp)$ and $\varphi^f(z, \mathbf{P}_\perp^2)$, the parameter a being taken equal for all three functions. The asymmetry (19) results in

$$A(y; Q, x; z, \mathbf{\Pi}_\perp) = \frac{\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_\perp}{Q} \frac{2z\mathcal{F}}{1+z^2} \frac{\sum_{f=1}^6 e_f^2 h_1^f(x) D^f(z)}{\sum_{f=1}^6 e_f^2 q^f(x) D^f(z)}. \quad (29)$$

Eq. (29) and the first eq. (27) suggest that the asymmetry is largest for y and z as close as possible to 1. Under such conditions, and setting $|\mathbf{\Pi}_\perp| \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}$ and $Q = 2.5 \text{ GeV}$, we have $A \sim 0.4R$, where $R = h_1^f(x)/q^f(x)$ has been determined by HERMES[12], $|R| = (50 \pm 30)\%$.

To conclude, we have suggested a SIDIS experiment, using a longitudinally polarized lepton and a transversely polarized proton, and detecting a pion in the final state, at a not too large angle with $\mathbf{q}$. This experiment yields a double spin asymmetry sensitive to h_1 through $\delta q_\perp^f$, while the other functions involved in the asymmetry can be parametrized in a well defined way. For reasonable values of Q^2 (4 to 10 GeV^2), and under the most favourable kinematic conditions (lepton scattering planes nearly orthogonal to $\mathbf{S}$ and pions whose momenta lie near the $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{S})$ plane), the order of magnitude of the asymmetry is estimated to be at least $\sim 10\%$. The suggested experiment could be performed at facilities like CERN (COMPASS coll.), DESY (HERMES coll.) or Jefferson Lab., where similar asymmetry measurements are being realized or planned.

References

- [1] J. Ralston and D.E. Soper: Nucl. Phys B **152** (1979) 109
- [2] R.L. Jaffe and X. Ji: Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991) 552; Nucl. Phys. B **375** (1992) 527
- [3] X. Artru and M. Mekhfi: Z. Phys. C - Particles and Fields **45** (1990) 669
- [4] R.L. Jaffe: Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **359** (2001) 391

- [5] J. Cortes, B. Pire and J. Ralston: Z. Phys. C - Particles and Fields **55** (1992) 409
- [6] X. Ji: Phys Lett. B **284** (1992) 137
- [7] R.L. Jaffe, X. Jin and J. Tang: Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 1166; Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) 5920
- [8] R.L Jaffe: "2nd Topical Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering off polarized targets: Theory meets Experiment (Spin 97)", Proceedings eds. J. Blümlein, A. De Roeck, T. Gehrmann and W.-D. Nowak. Zeuten, DESY, (1997) p.167
- [9] V. Barone, T. Calarco and A. Drago: Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 527
- [10] X. Artru: 10th Int. Symp. on High Energy Spin Physics, Nagoya, Nov. 9-14, 1992, p.605
- [11] SMC coll., A. Bravar: Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **79** (1999) 520
- [12] HERMES coll., Airapetian et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 4047
- [13] HERMES coll., K. Akerstaff et al.: N.I.M., A **417** (1998) 230
- [14] J. Collins: Nucl. Phys. B **396** (1993) 161
- [15] A. V. Efremov: hep-ph/0001214 and hep-ph/0101057, to appear on Czech. J. Phys. Suppl.
- [16] R.L. Jaffe and X. Ji: Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 2547
- [17] P.J. Mulders and R.D. Tangerman: Nucl. Phys. B **461** (1996) 197
- [18] E. Di Salvo: Eur. Phys. J. C **19** (2001) 503
- [19] D. Sivers: Phys. Rev. D **41** (1990) 83; D **43** (1991) 261
- [20] J. Qiu and G. Sterman: Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991) 2264; Nucl. Phys. B **378** (1992) 52

- [21] R.D. Tangerman and P.J. Mulders: Phys. Rev. D **51** (1995) 3357
- [22] D. Boer: Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 094029
- [23] P. V. Landshoff and J. C. Polkinghorne: Phys. Rep. **5** (1972) 1; see also J. D. Jackson, G. G. Ross and R. G. Roberts: Phys. Lett. B **226** (1989) 159
- [24] H. D. Politzer: Nucl. Phys. B **172** (1980) 349
- [25] M. Anselmino, A. Efremov and E. Leader: Phys. Rep. **261** (1995) 1
- [26] R.P. Feynman: *Photon-Hadron Interactions*, W. A. Benjamin, Reading, 1972
- [27] E. Di Salvo: in progress.
- [28] B.-Q. Ma, I. Schmidt and J. Soffer: Phys. Lett. B **441** (1998) 461
- [29] D. Boer, P.J. Mulders and O.V. Teryaev: Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) 3057